



Designation: D7612 – 21

Standard Practice for Categorizing Wood and Wood-Based Products According to Their Fiber Sources¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D7612; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This practice sets forth minimum criteria and evaluation requirements for products employing the use of different systems to trace wood fiber to sources operating under different forest management or forest certification systems.

1.2 The purpose of this practice is to provide wood products manufacturers, distributors, and retailers with a system to provide clear, objective information to communicate to consumers regarding product conformance to different wood fiber tracing systems within specific forest management or forest certification programs. It provides a structure that segregates the different types of labels and tracing systems in use among major forest certification standards and other voluntary and regulatory standards governing the production of forest products.

NOTE 1—The principles in this practice apply internationally, provided that the required information is available to support categorization. For example, products certified to the globally recognized forest certification standards will meet the “Certified Sources” category regardless of their origin, and documented risk assessments (noted in [Appendix X5](#)) provide the basis upon which raw materials sourced from Canada and the United States can be deemed to meet the “Legal Sources” category. To categorize raw materials sourced outside of Canada and the United States as “Legal Sources,” it is recommended that the adopting entity develop supplemental provisions to address country-specific issues as needed.

1.2.1 This practice provides an objective basis to differentiate among:

1.2.1.1 Non-controversial (that is, legal) sources of forest products,

1.2.1.2 Responsible sources of forest products (that is, non-controversial sources together with certified procurement systems or from forests managed using responsible practices), and

1.2.1.3 Certified sources of forest products (that is, non-controversial sources together with certified chain of custody).

1.2.2 This practice is intended to provide a framework to help wood product vendors identify the competent and reliable

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D07 on Wood and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D07.08 on Forests.

Current edition approved April 15, 2021. Published May 2021. Originally approved in 2010. Last previous edition approved in 2015 as D7612 – 10 (2015). DOI:10.1520/D7612-21.

evidence needed to substantiate product claims as required by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission’s Guides for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims (also known as “The Green Guides”).

1.2.3 Products from unknown sources are not covered by this practice.

1.2.4 This practice is intended for voluntary use by manufacturers, distributors, retailers, consumers, and standards developers in the wood products sector.

1.3 The category structure of this practice is derived from publicly available sources or based on the provisions of various forest management or forest certification standards. Documentation of compliance with specific category requirements is the responsibility of the user. The objective of this categorization is to provide a concise and easily communicated description based on grouping of significant practices. It is possible that this grouping will result in some consolidation of concepts and practices of individual programs. Details of these practices or categorization of products complying with more than one program are beyond the scope of this practice.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.5 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

D9 Terminology Relating to Wood and Wood-Based Products

D7480 Guide for Evaluating the Attributes of a Forest Management Plan

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard’s Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

2.2 Other References:

FAO Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005, Annex 2
 Federal Trade Commission, Commercial Practices, Chapter I, Subchapter B; Guides and Trade Practice Rules, Part 260—Guides for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims
 International Finance Corporation Indigenous Peoples, Guidance Note 7³
 PEFC Technical Document: 2005
 Standards Development Organization Advancement Act of 2004, Pub. L. No. 108–237, Section 102(5) (2004)
 U.S. Customs and Border Patrol discussion of the Lacey Act (www.cbp.gov)
 USDA Forest Service, NRS-INF-06-08, “Who Owns America’s Forests,” 2008

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of general terms used in this practice related to wood, refer to Terminology **D9**, and for terms related to forestry, forest certification, and traceability, refer to Guide **D7480**.

3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.2.1 *chain of custody (COC), n*—a system of procedures and documentation that tracks the custodianship of forestry materials or wood-based products through one or more stages of its life cycle from the forest to the end-use. See **X1.7** for a discussion of COC under forest certification standards.

3.2.1.1 *Discussion*—Once a product receives a permanent label (such as a gradestamp) and is not subsequently remanufactured, this practice accepts the on-product label as proof of chain of custody.

3.2.2 *consensus-based programs/standards, n*—programs/standards developed using the principles of openness, balance, transparency, consensus decision-making, and due process.⁴

3.2.3 *non-controversial sources, n*—sources that do not come from illegal or unauthorized harvesting.

3.2.3.1 *Discussion*—Examples of illegal or unauthorized harvesting include harvesting in forest areas protected by law as well as in forest areas officially published by government authorities (or the body with the legal authority to do so) as planned to become strictly protected by law, without the government authorities (or the body with the legal authority to do so) giving permission to harvest.

3.2.3.2 *Discussion*—Source is Annex 4 PEFC Technical Document: 2005, 1.3.4 *controversial sources*, modified by establishing the contradictory concept “*non-controversial sources*” with a negation of the essential characteristics of the definition of *controversial sources*.

3.2.4 *procurement system, n*—a system requiring organizations buying raw materials to have an auditable procurement process designed, at a minimum, to require compliance with

best management practices to protect water quality on all suppliers’ lands and ensure all fiber comes from known and legal sources.

4. Summary of Practice

4.1 This practice describes a category-based method for evaluating broad differences between forest management standards. The rationale underlying the categories is provided in **Appendix X2**.

4.2 In providing rules for undertaking an evaluation of different forest management standards, this practice (1) establishes three broad categories to distinguish between programs with different levels of tracing and documentation, and (2) eliminates from consideration any products from unknown sources.

NOTE 2—The standard also provides a conceptual basis to describe the category of protective forestry sources. Since this is conceptual and requires the development of an underlying database, it is included within **Appendix X3** and **Appendix X4** for information only.

4.3 This practice is guided by the following principles:

4.3.1 Its use is intended to promote the growth of responsible forest management.

4.3.2 Any marketing claims based on or related to this practice are accurate, verifiable, relevant and not misleading.

4.3.2.1 Any marketing claims based on or related to this practice are in compliance with the Federal Trade Commission’s Guides for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims and other U.S. consumer protection laws.

4.3.3 In the categories, differences in system governance that are legally relevant to federal and state or provincial government agencies are addressed specifically as to whether they are governed through consensus-based processes.

4.3.4 Decisions based on the categories avoid restraining trade; that is, they enable consumer choice among products produced under comparable conditions.

4.4 It is possible that the differentiation between various forest practices, regulatory and certification-type systems will require in-depth examination beyond the scope of this practice. This practice does not rank, rate, or differentiate among the efficacy of these systems for either forest practice or application to specific forest products. Such a differentiation requires detailed information specifically focused on the intended end-use. See **Appendix X5** for links to aid users who require more detailed differentiation.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Voluntary forest certification systems have become an important factor in promoting sustainable forest management. The standards in use are highly variable, however. Even within a family of standards with a common label there is the potential for wide variations in practices. This prevents producers and consumers from using a certification label to characterize products according to a specific set of qualities or values. This practice creates a framework to differentiate products based on a set of qualities and values identified as important in the market for wood products.

³ Available from International Finance Corporation (IFC), 2121 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20433, <http://www.ifc.org>.

⁴ From the Standards Development Organization Advancement Act of 2004, Pub. L. No. 108–237, Section 102(5) (2004). This definition is similar to those found in various ASTM documents.

TABLE 1 Summary of Criteria for Categorizing Products with Fiber Procurement Systems Conforming to Different Forest Certification or Management Standards

Requirements	Products from		
	Legal Sources	Responsible Sources	Certified Sources
A) Fiber is from jurisdictions with a low risk of illegal activity or from controlled wood standards, stair-step standards, legality assessments, or other proprietary standards	A	A	A
System governance: B) Public legislative or regulatory processes; C) Proprietary Standards; D) Consensus-based	B or C	B or C or D	D
Content: E) Requires compliance with best management practices to protect water quality and ensures all fiber comes from known and legal sources F) Provides for Forest Management Plans in substantial compliance with relevant portions of Guide D7480 – 08 or equivalent	—	E or F	F ^A
Documentation includes traceability: G) To the applicable jurisdiction H) By a certified procurement system I) By a chain of custody system ^B	G	G or H or I	I

^A See [Appendix X3](#) for discussion of additional concepts related to sub-categorization of certified sources.

^B For the purposes of categorizing products under this practice, distributors and retailers can rely on “on-product” labels for chain of custody or a certified procurement system if they are not engaged in significant value-added processing or remanufacture. In lieu of an on-product label, a certificate of compliance indicating conformance with the applicable chain of custody or certified procurement system is permitted.

5.2 This practice is intended to be used by producers, distributors, retailers, or consumers who wish to understand where a product fits within three categories. At a minimum, the user will need to know the geographic origin of the wood going into a product and whether it is labeled or otherwise certified to a procurement system or chain of custody based on a voluntary forest management or certification standard. Producers who want to use this practice must be able to identify the geographic origin of the wood to at least the level needed to support the claims to consumers associated with a given category and described in [6.1](#).

6. Criteria

6.1 The criteria differentiating wood products into three categories based on the wood fiber tracing systems, forest certification and other standards that apply to their production are provided in this section and are summarized in [Table 1](#).

6.1.1 *Non-Controversial (That is, Legal) Sources of Forest Products:*

6.1.1.1 Products from non-controversial (that is, legal) sources are produced with wood fiber from jurisdictions with a low risk of illegal activity or from controlled wood standards, stair-step standards, legality assessments, or other proprietary standards. Products from non-controversial sources shall be traceable to the applicable jurisdiction, or chain of custody.

6.1.2 *Responsible Sources of Forest Products:*

6.1.2.1 Products from responsible sources are produced with wood fiber acquired according to an independently

certified procurement standard or are from a proprietary forestry standard or from jurisdictions with regulatory or quasi-regulatory programs to implement best management practices. These standards or programs are typically consensus-based proprietary certification standards or public legislative and regulatory processes. To qualify for this category, the applicable standard or forest governance in the applicable geography shall document a system designed to require compliance with best management practices to protect water quality and ensure all fiber comes from known and legal sources.

6.1.3 *Certified Sources of Forest Products:*

6.1.3.1 Products from certified sources are produced with wood fiber acquired in accordance with, and independently certified to, an internationally recognized voluntary forest certification standard or equivalent. See [Appendix X1](#) for discussion of globally recognized programs that satisfy the requirements of this practice.

6.1.3.2 Equivalent standards, where used, shall document substantial compliance with and effective implementation of applicable portions of the Sustainable Forest Management provisions of [Guide D7480](#) and shall be verified by an accredited independent third party.

7. Keywords

7.1 certified sources; fiber procurement system; forests; forest certification; forest management; legal sources; protective forestry sources; responsible sources